RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

2005

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office			NUA	1 1
Serial No. O9/970,953	§	Filing Date: 10/04/2001	1101	• •
	§			
Title: COMMUNICATION METHOD	§			
USING CUSTOMISABLE BANNERS	§			
	§	Examiner: Halim, Sahera		
	§			
Applicant: Moetteli, John	§	GA No.: 2157		
	§			
Atty docket no: 777-a	§			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CI facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office		ertify that this correspondence is being		-
On NOU 11, 7005				
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	J. Moet	<u>teli</u>		
Signature of person signing this certificate:	M			

RCE TRANSMITTAL LETTER AND PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Via facsimile to 001-571-273-8300, to:

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

Applicant encloses herewith a form SB/30, Request for Continued Examination Transmittal, in duplicate.

2

REMARKS

In order to promote administrative efficiency and better communication, the Examiner is invited to make suggestions at any time during the proceedings, via phone, fax or e-mail, whenever such suggestions are within the Examiner's discretion as an aid to placing the claims in order for allowance in a timely manner.

Petition for Extension of Time:

Applicant petitions the Commissioner for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 for a period of X month(s) and the Undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge any fee or credit any overpayment of any fee under 37 CFR §1.16 and §1.17 which may be required in this application to the deposit account of MOETTELI & ASSOCIES SARL, no. 50-2621. However, it is believed that NO extension of time fee is due because Applicant filed a response to the Examiner's Final Action dated May 25th, 2005, by fax on July 25th, 2005, and therefore, any extension of time fee due is calculated from the date of an advisory action. Because there has been no advisory action issued, any response prior to it's issuance does not incur an extension of time fee.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner indulge the Applicant with a generous hearing in these RCE proceedings in an effort to speed the issuance of the application, avoid undue delay and minimize costs.

§ § §

Conclusion

Applicant has made a diligent effort to advance the prosecution of this application by amending claims, and by pointing out herein with particularity how the claims now presented are patentably distinct from the prior art of record. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims, as amended in the prior response, are now in condition for allowance. No new matter has been entered by this amendment.

3

Any limitations to the claims are made solely for the purpose of expediting the prosecution of the application and, unless otherwise expressly stated, are not made to narrow, vis-à-vis the prior art, the scope of protection which any subsequently issuing patent might afford. Again, if the Examiner has further questions, she is invited to contact the undersigned at phone 011-4171 230 1000, fax at 011-4171 230 1001 (Switzerland is 6 hours ahead of Eastern Std Time), or e-mail at moetteli@patentinfo.net.

Respectfully submitted,

John MOETTELI U.\$. Reg. No. 35,289

Date : 180 (1, 7005

Enclosure: RCE form, in duplicate